



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/049,284 06/17/2002 Jacqueline Marchand DCLERC 1 9585 23599 11/05/2004 EXAMINER MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. SACKEY, EBENEZER O 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. ART UNIT **SUITE 1400** PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22201 1626

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,284	MARCHAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 6-8 and 26-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 6-8,26 and 28-32 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

This is in response to amendment filed 08/20/04.

Claims 6-8, 26-34 are pending.

Claims 1-5, 9-25 and 35 have been cancelled.

Claims 6 and 31 have been amended.

#### Response to Remarks

Upon further review of the instant claims and the supporting arguments filed 08/20/04, the allowability of instant claims conveyed to applicants attorney, Harry Shubin, on 09/20/04 have been withdrawn. The following rejections apply.

### **Objection to the Specification**

The amendment filed 06/07/04 remains objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material, to claim 31, which is not supported by the original disclosure, is as follows: "The acetic acid can have an OH group of the carboxyl function replaced by a good leaving." Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in reply to this office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "in which OH has been replaced by a good leaving" is not supported in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 7, 8, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. In claim 6, page 4, third line from the bottom and claim 8, page 5, line 3 "fluorine" has been misspelled as ---fluor---
- 2. Claims 7, 26, 28, 29 and 30 are improperly dependent on claim 6. The recited claims fails to further limit claim 6.
  - 3. In claim 26, the structural formula should be inserted between lines 4 and 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

Page 4

In claim 31, lines 3-4, the phrase "a good leaving" renders the claim
 indefinite. The phrase does not properly define the metes and bounds of the invention

because it is not clear what is intended and embraced by a good leaving group.

5. The specific radioactivity recited in claim 32 is missing a unit.

In claims 33 and 34, it is suggested that, for clarity, the phrase "has the formula" be

replaced with ---is---.

Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (703) 305-6889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

EOS November 4, 2004

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626, Group 1600

Art Unit: 1626

Technology Center 1